

2020 MVTPC Showcase: Project Judging Form

			_				
PROJECT RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT							
 Superior 10 — 9 points Project directly relates to career field Data was collected during the project A variety of methods and resources were used to gather data 	Excellent 8 — 6 points Project <u>relates</u> to career field Data <u>was</u> collected Variety of resources used	Good 5 — 3 points • Project somewhat relates to career field • Limited data was collected • Few methods or resources were used to collect data	No Evidence 2 — 1 points Project does not relate to career field No evidence of data was collected	□1 □2 □3 □4 □5	□6 □7 □8 □9		
AUTHENTIC WORK BAS	ED LEARNING & PROBLE	M SOLVING					
Superior 10 — 9 points • A strong project connection can be made to an area in the pathway • Problem identified-superior problem solving techniques	Excellent 8 — 6 points A project connection can be made to an area in the pathway Problem identified and problem solving tech's evident	Good 5 — 3 points A weak connection can be made to an area in the pathway Problem somewhat identified and limited problem-solving techniques	No Evidence 2 — 1 points No connection Problem not identified, problem solving techniques absent.	□1 □2 □3 □4 □5	□6 □7 □8 □9		
ACADEMIC / TECHNICA	L						
Superior 10 — 9 points Exhibits knowledge beyond the career technical area Evidence of planning & organizing by answering all questions effectively	Excellent 8 — 6 points Exhibits research appropriate knowledge in the career technical area Evidence of planning & organizing by answering most questions effectively	 Good 5 — 3 points Displays basic understanding of facts gained in the career technical area Limited evidence of planning & organizing by answering some questions 	No Evidence 2 — 1 points • Shows no understanding of facts gained in the career technical area • Shows no evidence of planning & organizing by inability to answer questions	□1 □2 □3 □4 □5	□6 □7 □8 □9		
PROFESSIONAL & TEAM	1 RELATIONSHIPS						
Superior 10 — 9 points Partnerships were exemplary, clearly demonstrating a multitude of project partners/ business or industry advisors Complete team work explained and demonstrated by project evaluation and team member answers	Excellent 8 — 6 points Partnerships were evident, clearly demonstrating project partners/business or industry advisors Adequate teamwork explained and demonstrated by project evaluation and answers to judges questions	Good 5 — 3 points Partnerships were evident, demonstrating limited project partners/business or industry advisors Weak teamwork explained and demonstrated by project evaluation and answers to judges questions	No Evidence 2 — 1 points Partnerships were not evident demonstrating any project partners or business/industry advisors No demonstration and explanation of teamwork between team members	□1 □2 □3 □4 □5	□6 □7 □8 □9		
PRESENTATION SKILLS							
Superior 10 — 9 points • Effective, dynamic oral presentation; speaks clearly, uses proper grammar; dresses appropriately; and exhibits good eye contact • Demonstrates in-depth prepared-ness for presentation	Excellent 8 — 6 points Effective oral presentation; speaks clearly, uses proper grammar; dresses appropriately; and exhibits good eye contact Demonstrates expected preparedness for presentation	Good 5 — 3 points • Minimal oral presentation skills; uses adequate grammar; dresses appropriately; and exhibits limited eye contact • Demonstrates limited preparedness for presentation	No Evidence 2 — 1 points Lacks oral presentation skills; uses improper grammar; dresses inappropriately; and exhibits no eye contact Demonstrates no preparedness for presentation	□1 □2 □3 □4 □5	□6 □7 □8 □9		
		F	PROJECT SCORE (5-50 points)				
		♣ PROJECT SU					

PROJECT SUMMARY SCORING

Note: PROJECT SUMMARY PROMPTS TO BE ANSWERED BY TEAMS (250 words or less for each question)

	Individual Judge's	□0		□2	□3	□4	□5	See rubric below
L	Score							below
2)	C			4-1	:		L	
-,						nethods		project p ternet,
_						ecution.		
	Individual Judge's Score	□0	□1	□2	□3	□4	□5	See rubric below
3)	Discu	ıss the	e outcor	mes of y	your pr	oject ar	nd the c	discoverie
_	while	work	ing as a	team.				
	Individual Judge's Score	□0	□1	□2	□3	□4	□5	See rubric below
			F	Project Su	mmary R	Rubric		
No Evidence: 0 Points •No response			Average: 1—2 Points •Inconsistent response •Noticeable grammar mistakes •Noticeable spelling mistakes		Excellent: •Addresses	: 3—4 Points	-	rior: 5 Points
		•1			Concise and clearly written Minimal grammar mistakes Minimal spelling mistakes		•Impac	etful writing se and clearly writ
							•No gra	ammar mistakes elling mistakes
					<u> </u>			
ONA	L CON	1MEN [.]	TS FROI	4 JUDGI	ES FOR	STUDE	NTS:	